

The effects of age on reward magnitude processing in the monetary incentive delay task

Isha Dhingra^a, Sheng Zhang^a, Simon Zhornitsky^a, Thang M. Le^a, Wuyi Wang^a, Herta H. Chao^{b,c}, Ifat Levy^{d,e,f}, Chiang-Shan R. Li^{a,e,f,*}

^a Department of Psychiatry, Yale University School of Medicine, New Haven, CT, USA

^b Department of Medicine, Yale University School of Medicine, New Haven, CT, USA

^c VA Connecticut Healthcare System, West Haven, CT, USA

^d Department of Comparative Medicine, Yale University School of Medicine, New Haven, CT, USA

^e Department of Neuroscience, Yale University School of Medicine, New Haven, CT, USA

^f Interdepartmental Neuroscience Program, Yale University, New Haven, CT, USA

ARTICLE INFO

Keywords:

Aging
Reward
MIDT
fMRI
Ventral striatum

ABSTRACT

Previous studies have suggested age-related differences in reward-directed behavior and cerebral processes in support of the age effects. However, it remains unclear how age may influence the processing of reward magnitude. Here, with 54 volunteers (22–74 years of age) participating in the Monetary Incentive Delay Task (MIDT) with explicit cues (\$1, ¢1, or nil) and timed response to win, we characterized brain activations during anticipation and feedback and the effects of age on these regional activations. Behaviorally, age was associated with less reaction time (RT) difference between dollar and cent trials, as a result of slower response to the dollar trials; i.e., age was positively correlated with RT dollar – RT cent, with RT nil as a covariate. Both age and the RT difference (\$1 - ¢1) were correlated with diminished activation of the right caudate head, right anterior insula, supplementary motor area (SMA)/pre-SMA, visual cortex, parahippocampal gyrus, right superior/middle frontal gyri, and left primary motor cortex during anticipation of \$1 vs. ¢1 reward. Further, these regional activities mediated the age effects on RT differences. In responses to outcomes, age was associated with decreases in regional activations to dollar vs. cent loss but only because of higher age-related responses to cent losses. Together, these findings suggest age-related differences in sensitivity to the magnitude of reward. With lower cerebral responses during anticipation to win large rewards and higher responses to outcomes of small loss, aging incurs a constricted sensitivity to the magnitude of reward.

1. Introduction

Reward motivates and shapes behaviors (Knutson and Greer, 2008; Schultz, 2015). Much of our understanding of reward-related neural processes builds on animal studies (Everitt et al., 2008; Haber and Knutson, 2010; Schultz, 2006; Schultz, 2015; Schultz et al., 1997) and involves a network of brain regions centered on the ventral striatum (VS). The VS receives dopaminergic inputs from the ventral tegmental area (VTA) and projects to the medial prefrontal cortex (mPFC) via the globus pallidus. The mPFC sends glutamatergic inputs to the VS, forming a circuit to support motivated behaviors (Haber and Knutson, 2010; Knutson et al., 2000; Lutz and Widmer, 2014; Samanez-Larkin and Knutson, 2015). Dysfunction of the reward circuit is implicated in many

neuropsychiatric conditions, including age-related neurodegenerative illnesses (Knutson and Heinz, 2015; Oldham et al., 2018; Whittton et al., 2015). For instance, individuals with Parkinson's disease show deficits in reward feedback processing (Di Rosa et al., 2015) and reward-related learning (Freedberg et al., 2017). People with mild cognitive impairment and Alzheimer's disease are altered in delayed discounting (Thoma et al., 2017) and impaired in assigning a reward value to self-related processing (Shany-Ur et al., 2014). Thus, understanding the psychological and neural bases of age-related changes in reward processing is of translational significance.

Aging is associated with changes in multiple domains of cognitive and affective function. Older people exhibit a positivity bias in emotional experience and memory (Charles et al., 2003; Joubert et al., 2018) while

* Corresponding author. Connecticut Mental Health Center S112, 34 Park Street, New Haven, CT, USA.

E-mail address: chiang-shan.li@yale.edu (C.-S.R. Li).

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2019.116368>

Received 13 May 2019; Received in revised form 11 November 2019; Accepted 15 November 2019

Available online 16 November 2019

1053-8119/© 2019 Published by Elsevier Inc. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).

showing less novelty seeking behavior (Sakaki et al., 2018). In a delay discounting task older adults prefer more delayed choices, switch earlier from immediate to delayed reward, and show reduced VS activation to immediate reward (Eppinger et al., 2012). In humans and non-human primates, aging is associated with deficits in reward-related learning (Eppinger et al., 2011). On the other hand, older people appear to be more sensitive to negative outcomes and ready to adjust behavior on the basis of negative outcomes (Eppinger and Kray, 2011; Frank and Kong, 2008; Hammerer et al., 2011; Simon et al., 2010). Numerous imaging studies have described age-related changes in these reward-related processes, and those combining molecular imaging provide an opportunity to relate functional deficits to molecular changes (Berry et al., 2019).

1.1. Outcome anticipation in the monetary incentive delay task and the effects of age

Investigators have employed functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI) to study the neural bases of reward processing with behavioral tasks that involve “secondary” rewards such as money or social approval (Izuma et al., 2008; Lutz and Widmer, 2014; Rademacher et al., 2014). In the monetary incentive delay task (MIDT) (Knutson et al., 2000), participants are shown a bet (money at stake) and respond within a time window to win and/or avoid a loss. Reward processing can thus be distinguished for anticipation and feedback (Knutson et al., 2001b; Knutson and Heinz, 2015; Rademacher et al., 2010). Reward anticipation appears to consistently activate the VS (Diekhof et al., 2012; Knutson and Greer, 2008; Knutson and Wimmer, 2007; Liu et al., 2011; Lutz and Widmer, 2014; O’Doherty et al., 2004; Oldham et al., 2018) with activation increasing with reward magnitude (Knutson et al., 2001b; Knutson et al., 2000). A meta-analysis of the MIDT and other tasks reports activations of bilateral VS, right caudate nucleus and thalamus during reward anticipation (Diekhof et al., 2012). Another meta-analysis of the MIDT reports anticipation-related activations of the ventral and dorsal striatum, insula, amygdala, thalamus, and supplementary motor area (SMA) independent of valence (win or loss), suggesting a broader role of a cortical subcortical network in supporting anticipation of a salient outcome (Oldham et al., 2018). In contrast, the ventrolateral prefrontal cortex appears to respond specifically to the anticipation of loss (Dugre et al., 2018).

Aging is associated with altered striatocortical dopaminergic transmission (Berry et al., 2019; Dreher et al., 2008; Rinne et al., 1990; Volkow et al., 1998). Older as compared to younger adults show reduced VS activation to reward anticipation in variants of the MIDT (Dreher et al., 2008; Samanez-Larkin et al., 2007; Schott et al., 2007; Vink et al., 2015). They also show decreased medial caudate and anterior insula activation during loss anticipation (Carstensen, 2006; Samanez-Larkin et al., 2007). This has been attributed to phase-of-life related reduction in negative affect, in keeping with socioemotional selectivity theory across the lifespan. Socially rewarding stimuli become potentially more salient with age (Carstensen, 1995; Carstensen and Turk-Charles, 1994; Kryla-Lighthall and Mather, 2009). In a modified MIDT offering monetary or social reward, both younger and older adults show VS, thalamic, and anterior cingulate response to anticipation of both incentives (Rademacher et al., 2010). However, anticipation of social and monetary reward results in greater right VS activation in older and younger adults, respectively (Rademacher et al., 2014). Together, these studies suggest that aging is associated with diminished regional responses to anticipation of monetary reward.

1.2. Outcome processing in the monetary incentive delay task and the effects of age

The medial orbitofrontal and ventromedial prefrontal cortex (mOFC/vmPFC) respond consistently to feedback, with activity increasing and decreasing in response to gain and loss, respectively (Diekhof et al., 2012; Dugre et al., 2018; Knutson et al., 2003; Liu et al., 2011; Lutz and

Widmer, 2014; Oldham et al., 2018; Rademacher et al., 2010). The mOFC/vmPFC may also play a role in outcome-based behavior adjustment (Forbes et al., 2014). Activation of the dorsal striatum increases with the magnitude of monetary gain and decreases with magnitude of loss (Delgado et al., 2004; Lutz and Widmer, 2014). A meta-analysis of the MIDT and other tasks with lower predictability of outcome implicates the VS in response to unpredictable outcomes, with responses scaling to the magnitude of reward (Diekhof et al., 2012). Receipt of reward also engages the parietal and posterior cingulate cortex, bilateral anterior cingulate cortex and paracingulate gyri, subcallosal cortex and thalamus (Bartra et al., 2013; Clithero and Rangel, 2014; Diekhof et al., 2012; Dugre et al., 2018; Knutson et al., 2003; Knutson and Greer, 2008; Oldham et al., 2018).

Studies using MIDT variants that require learning of stimulus-reward associations or more complex cognitive operations show increased VS activation to reward feedback in older adults, suggesting age-sensitive responses to positive prediction error (Samanez-Larkin et al., 2014; Schott et al., 2007; Vink et al., 2015). A study of card guessing with unpredictable outcome reports VS activation and valence-discriminating caudate activity at reward feedback in both young and old adults (Cox et al., 2008). Similarly, a combined PET and MR imaging study of a learning-dependent “slot machine” task found greater activation of the anterior medial prefrontal cortex (PFC), posterior cingulate cortex and inferior parietal cortex in older adults at the outcome phase. The same study finds that older adults with lower midbrain dopamine levels show greater PFC activity while the converse is true in younger adults, suggesting compensatory prefrontal activity to reduced striatocortical dopaminergic signaling with age (Dreher et al., 2008). In paradigms with explicit cues (“WIN \$5”, “LOSE \$5”, etc.) that require no learning, old and young adults show comparable VS, medial PFC and medial caudate activation to both wins and losses (Haber and Knutson, 2010; Samanez-Larkin et al., 2007; Samanez-Larkin et al., 2014). In sum, although age-related changes in response to feedback in the MIDT appear to be less than consistent, cerebral activations to outcomes do not appear to diminish with age, as with anticipation of reward. The magnitude of reward as well as differences in reward contingencies, including whether learning is involved, how cue predicts reward, and whether cues predict solely wins or both wins and losses, may contribute to the complexity to the findings.

1.3. The present study

The current study investigates the effects of age on cerebral activations during anticipation and feedback in the MIDT. Specifically, we examined whether age is associated with diminished response to anticipation to win large vs. small amount of money as well as to the outcomes of wins and losses of large vs. small reward. We hypothesized that if age is associated with a global decrease in motivation for monetary reward, one would expect age-related decreases in brain activations during both anticipation and feedback of a large vs. small reward irrespective of the outcome. Alternatively, older and younger adults demonstrate comparable responses to feedback in the MIDT, as discussed earlier, while older adults show greater responses to salient external stimuli in other cognitive tasks (Hahn et al., 2006; Hu et al., 2012; Wiegand and Sander, 2019)). Thus, age may be associated with diminished effort to acquire monetary reward but not necessarily with diminished responses to the outcome of win or loss of a large vs. small reward. To test these hypotheses, we employed a MIDT with unambiguous cues that predicted only reward and involved no learning. Successful performance required effort or a speeded motor response to acquire the reward and the overall success rate was held relatively constant across participants by stair-casing the time window for the motor response. In addition to no reward (nil) trials as a control for reaction time (RT), we included large (\$1) and small (¢1) reward trials to elicit trial-by-trial variation in motivation and effort. We examined differences in RT between dollar and cent trials, with nil RT as a covariate to quantify age-related differences in

motivation, and examined regional activations to large vs. small reward both during anticipation and in response to feedback.

2. Methods

2.1. Subjects and informed consent

Fifty-four adults (30 men; 22–74 or 40 ± 14 , mean \pm SD, years of age) participated in this study. There was no age difference between men and women ($p = 0.77$, two-sample t -test). All subjects were healthy with no current use of prescription medications. None reported a history of head injury or neurological illness. Other exclusion criteria included current or past Axis I Disorders including dependence on a psychoactive substance, according to DSM-IV. The Human Investigation Committee at Yale University School of Medicine approved the study and all subjects gave written informed consent prior to participation.

2.2. Behavioral task

In the monetary incentive delay task or MIDT (Fig. 1A), a bet (a dollar, a cent, or no money) appeared on the screen at the beginning of each trial. After a randomized interval (fore-period) between 1 and 5 s (uniform distribution), a target box appeared on the screen and disappeared after a short period (response window). A variable fore-period

minimizes learning effects (Li et al., 2005; Wang et al., 2018). Subjects were told to press a button as quickly as possible to collect the money in the target box (win) before it disappeared. An accurate trial is defined by a button press on time and before disappearance of the target box. Otherwise, subjects would lose the bet, with the amount deducted from the total win. A premature button press prior to the appearance of the target box terminated the trial, and similarly resulted in loss. Feedback was shown on the screen after each trial to indicate the amount of money won or lost. Approximately 42% of all trials were dollar trials, 42% were cent trials, and “no money” constituted the remaining trials. There was an inter-trial-interval of 1.5 s. The response window started at 300 ms, and was staircased for each trial type (dollar/cent/no money trials, separately): for instance, if the subject succeeded at two successive dollar trials, the window decreased by 30 ms, making it more difficult to win again; conversely, if a subject failed for two successive trials, the response window increased by 30 ms, making it easier to win. We anticipated that the subjects would win in approximately 67% each for dollar and cent trials. Each subject completed two 10-min runs of the task.

2.3. Imaging protocol, data preprocessing, and modeling

Brain images were collected using multiband imaging with a 3-T MR scanner (Siemens Trio, Erlangen, Germany). Conventional T1-weighted spin echo sagittal anatomical images were acquired for slice




Fig. 1. Behavioral paradigm and performance. (A) Monetary incentive delay task: A bet (a dollar, a cent, or no money) appeared at the beginning of each trial. After a randomized interval between 1 and 5 s, a target box appeared on the screen and disappeared after a short period (response window). Subjects were told to press the button as quickly as possible to collect the money in the target box (win) before it disappeared. Otherwise, subjects would lose the bet, with the amount deducted from the total win. A premature button-press prior to the appearance of the target box terminated the trial, and similarly resulted in loss. A feedback window was shown on the screen after each trial to indicate the amount of money won or lost. (B) Accuracy rate and (C) RT of dollar, cent and no money (nil) trials (mean \pm SD). (D) Pearson's linear and Spearman's rank partial correlations of RT difference (RT dollar - RT cent) versus age (red lines), controlling for RT of no money trials (RT nil). In the right panel, we also plotted the regression lines of Spearman's partial correlation of RT dollar vs. age (black, solid; $r = 0.177$, $p = 0.204$) and of RT cent vs. age (black, dashed; $r = 0.004$, $p = 0.975$) with RT nil as a covariate. Note that residuals, not original data values, were plotted in partial regressions.

localization. Anatomical 3D MPRAGE image were next obtained with spin echo imaging in the axial plane parallel to the AC–PC line with TR = 1900 m s, TE = 2.52 m s, bandwidth = 170 Hz/pixel, field of view = 250 × 250 mm, matrix = 256 × 256, 176 slices with slice thickness = 1 mm and no gap. Functional, blood oxygen level-dependent (BOLD) signals were then acquired with a single-shot gradient echo echoplanar imaging (EPI) sequence. Fifty-one axial slices parallel to the AC–PC line covering the whole brain were acquired with TR = 1000 m s, TE = 30 m s, bandwidth = 2290 Hz/pixel, flip angle = 62°, field of view = 210 × 210 mm, matrix = 84 × 84, 51 slices with slice thickness = 2.5 mm and no gap, multiband acceleration factor = 3. Images from the first 10 TR at the beginning of each trial were discarded to enable the signal to achieve steady-state equilibrium between RF pulsing and relaxation.

Data were analyzed with Statistical Parametric Mapping (SPM8, Wellcome Department of Imaging Neuroscience, University College London, U.K.). Standard image preprocessing was performed. Images of each individual subject were first realigned (motion corrected) and corrected for slice timing. A mean functional image volume was constructed for each subject per run from the realigned image volumes. These mean images were co-registered with the high-resolution structural image and then segmented for normalization with affine registration followed by nonlinear transformation (Ashburner and Friston, 1999). The normalization parameters determined for the structure volume were then applied to the corresponding functional image volumes for each subject. Finally, the images were smoothed with a Gaussian kernel of 8 mm at Full Width at Half Maximum. Note that the size of the smoothing kernel can sometimes bias the results, particularly for subcortical areas, where extensive smoothing could lead to mis-identified structures. In the current study, we performed whole-brain analyses and did not target specific subcortical structures. Imaging studies typically use a kernel of 4–8 mm, and some have argued 8 mm as an optimal size for fMRI data (Mikl et al., 2008). Other investigators suggested that the optimal smoothing kernel should be 3 times of the voxel size (http://jpeelle.net/mri/image_processing/smoothing.html). Our voxel size was 3 × 3 × 3 mm³, and an 8 mm kernel would seem suitable for smoothing of the data.

We examined event-related BOLD signals in two different models, each focusing on anticipation or “bet” and feedback or “result.” In the “bet” model three trial types were distinguished: dollar, cent, and no money. In the “result” model five trial types of trials were distinguished: dollar win, dollar loss, cent win, cent loss, and no money. A statistical analytical design was constructed for each individual subject, using a general linear model (GLM) with the onsets of “bet” and “result”, respectively, of each trial convolved with a canonical hemodynamic response function (HRF) and with the temporal derivatives of the canonical HRF and entered as regressors in the model. Realignment parameters in all six dimensions were also entered in the model. Serial autocorrelation caused by aliased cardiovascular and respiratory effects was corrected by a first-degree autoregressive or AR (1) model. The GLM estimated the component of variance explained by each of the regressors.

In group level or random effects analyses, we examined one-sample *t*-test results of individual contrasts (see below). To investigate age-related effects, we conducted whole-brain linear regressions with age as the regressor. All models were evaluated with a threshold combining voxel $p < 0.001$, uncorrected and cluster $p < 0.05$ family-wise error (FWE) corrected, on the basis of the Gaussian random field theory as implemented in SPM, following current reporting standards. Under this threshold some of the clusters were extensive and we tabulated the clusters using a more stringent threshold – voxel $p < 0.05$ FWE corrected – to identify distinct brain regions with peak activities. Voxels with peak activity were indicated with Montreal Neurological Institute (MNI) coordinates.

2.4. Mediation analysis

We examined whether activations of the regions of interest mediated the correlation between age and reaction time. We performed mediation analyses (MacKinnon et al., 2007), using the toolbox M3, developed by

Tor Wager and Martin Lindquist (<http://wagerlab.colorado.edu/tools>), as in our recent studies (Hu et al., 2018; Le et al., 2019; Zhornitsky et al., 2019).

In a mediation analysis, the relation between the independent variable X and dependent variable Y , i.e. $X \rightarrow Y$, is tested to see if it is significantly mediated by a variable M . The mediation test is performed by employing three regression equations (MacKinnon et al., 2007):

$$Y = i_1 + cX + e_1$$

$$Y = i_2 + c'X + bM + e_2$$

$$M = i_3 + aX + e_3$$

where a represents $X \rightarrow M$, b represents $M \rightarrow Y$ (controlling for X), c' represents $X \rightarrow Y$ (controlling for M), and c represents $X \rightarrow Y$. The constants i_1, i_2, i_3 are the intercepts, and e_1, e_2, e_3 are the residual errors. In the literature, a, b, c and c' were referred as path coefficients or simply paths (MacKinnon et al., 2007; Wager et al., 2008), and we followed this notation. Variable M is said to be a mediator of the correlation $X \rightarrow Y$ if $(c - c')$, which is mathematically equivalent to the product of the paths $a \times b$, is significantly different from zero (MacKinnon et al., 2007). If the product $a \times b$ and the paths a and b are significant, one concludes that $X \rightarrow Y$ is mediated by M . In addition, if path c' is not significant, there is no direct connection from X to Y and that $X \rightarrow Y$ is completely mediated by M . Note that path b is the relation between Y and M , controlling for X , and should not be confused with the correlation coefficient between Y and M .

3. Results

3.1. Behavioral performance

Fig. 1B and C shows the accuracy rate and reaction time (RT) of dollar, cent and nil trials. Across subjects 6.2 ± 6.3% of loss trials resulted from premature responding, and the rest (93.8 ± 6.3%) resulted from the responses being too slow.

In a one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) with dollar, cent, and nil trials as within-subject factors, the results showed a significant variation in accuracy rate across trial types ($F = 21.27, p = 6.5e-09$). In post-hoc comparisons, participants showed higher accuracy rate in dollar as compared to nil ($t = 5.11, p = 1.41e-06$, two-tailed paired *t*-test) and in cent as compared to nil ($t = 4.37, p = 2.91e-05$) trials, but only a trend-level difference between dollar and cent trials ($t = 1.82, p = 0.072$). Participants also showed a significant variation in RT across trial types ($F = 29.68, p = 1.12e-11$). In post-hoc comparisons participants showed faster RT in dollar as compared to nil ($t = -6.10, p = 1.75e-08$) and in cent as compared to nil ($t = -5.39, p = 4.38e-07$) trials, but no difference between dollar and cent trials ($t = -1.524, p = 0.13$).

We examined the relationship between behavioral performance and age. In linear regressions, age was negatively correlated with the accuracy rate of dollar ($r = -0.29, p = 0.03$) but not cent ($r = -0.14, p = 0.32$) trials and at a trend level with the accuracy rate of nil trials ($r = -0.24, p = 0.08$). Age was positively correlated with RTs of dollar ($r = 0.25, p = 0.07$) and nil ($r = 0.24, p = 0.08$) trials at a trend level, but not significantly with cent trials ($r = 0.11, p = 0.45$).

We further considered whether age was related to change in the motivation to acquire a large vs. small reward. In a covariance analysis, we performed a regression of difference in RT of dollar vs. cent trials ($RT_{dollar} - RT_{cent}$) against age, with the RT_{nil} as a covariate. The results showed a significant positive correlation: $r = 0.34, p = 0.01$ (Pearson regression); $r = 0.38, p = 0.006$ (Spearman regression). That is, age was associated with diminished differences in RT to acquire a large vs. small reward or $RT_{dollar} - RT_{cent}$ (Fig. 1D).

3.2. Regional activations to reward anticipation and the effects of age

In a one-sample *t*-test, we evaluated regional activations to anticipation to dollar vs. nil, cent vs. nil and dollar vs. cent (Supplementary Fig. 1). Anticipation of reward involved activation of the ventral striatum (VS), dorsal striatum, thalamus, midbrain, as well as primary and supplementary motor and visual cortical areas.

In a linear regression, age was correlated with less activation of the VS and other areas of the basal forebrain such as the basal nucleus of Meynert (BNM, Li et al., 2014), dorsal striatum, thalamus, primary motor, supplementary motor and visual cortical areas during reward anticipation, particularly during anticipation of a dollar reward. Fig. 2 shows regional activations to anticipation of dollar vs. nil, cent vs. nil, and dollar vs. cent in linear correlation with age. The regressions on dollar vs. nil and dollar vs. cent identified a large cluster of brain regions. We thus applied a more stringent threshold of voxel $p < 0.05$ FWE corrected to distinguish the individual brain regions (Table 1).

3.3. Regional activations to reward anticipation in relation to difference in RT

Age was positively correlated with differences in RT between dollar and cent trials, with RT of nil trial as a covariate, suggesting less differentiated motivation in older people to acquire a large vs. small reward. Thus, as with the analysis of behavioral data, we conducted a whole-brain regression of anticipation-related activations to dollar vs. cent trials against the RT difference of dollar and cent trials (RT_dollar –

Table 1

Age-related regional responses to reward anticipation.

Volume (mm ³)	Peak voxel (Z)	MNI coordinates (mm)			Side	Identified brain region
		x	y	z		
<i>Dollar > Nil</i>						
297	-4.68	-12	11	-5	L	VS/BNM
594	-4.67	-3	-82	16	L/R	OC
<i>Dollar > Cent</i>						
3240	-4.99	36	20	7	R	Insula
621	-4.93	-9	-82	37	L	OC
810	-4.89	-15	5	64	L	Pre-SMA
351	-4.75	-15	17	-5	L	VS/BNM
405	-4.74	6	8	58	R	Pre-SMA
324	-4.59	15	11	-5	R	VS/BNM

Note: voxel $p < 0.05$, FWE; R: right; L: left. The sign of Z value indicates the direction of correlation. VS/BNM: ventral striatum/basal nucleus of Meynert; OC: occipital cortex; Pre-SMA: pre-supplementary motor area.

RT_cent) with RT_nil as a covariate. The results showed that the RT difference was negatively correlated with activation of the supplementary motor area, right superior/middle frontal gyrus, left primary motor cortex, bilateral occipital cortex including the parahippocampal gyrus, right anterior insula, caudate nucleus, lentiform nucleus and thalamus (Fig. 2D). Voxels that overlapped with those of age regression were primarily in the supplementary motor area, right superior/middle frontal gyrus, left primary motor cortex, bilateral occipital cortex including the parahippocampal gyrus, right anterior insula, and caudate nucleus (Fig. 2E).




Fig. 2. Regional activations to anticipation of (A) dollar vs. nil, (B) cent vs. nil, and (C) dollar vs. cent in correlation with age. The contrast of dollar vs. nil and dollar vs. cent identified a large cluster of brain regions. We thus applied a more stringent threshold of voxel $p < 0.05$ FWE corrected and summarized the individual clusters in Table 1. (D) Regional activations to anticipation of dollar vs. cent in correlation with RT difference between dollar and cent trials, with RT of no money (nil) trials as a covariate. (E) Voxels that overlap between (C) and (D). These voxels together formed the region of interest for mediation analysis.

We combined all clusters in Fig. 2E as a single region of interest and computed the β contrast of anticipation of a dollar vs. cent reward to visualize the correlation of the β contrast with age and with “RT_dollar – RT_cent” (Supplementary Fig. 2).

3.4. Mediation analyses

We examined whether activations of the regions of interest (ROI) mediated the correlation between age and RT difference between dollar and cent trials. The voxels that overlapped between the two regressions (Fig. 2E) were combined as a single ROI. Of the 6 possible models of mediation, we excluded the two with age as a dependent variable and tested the remaining four models. The results showed that regional activities significantly mediated the correlation between age and RT difference, and none of the other three models showed significant mediation (Fig. 3).

3.5. Regional activations to outcomes and the effects of age

In a one-sample *t*-test, we evaluated regional activations to dollar win vs. nil, cent win vs. nil, dollar win vs. cent win, dollar loss vs. nil, cent loss vs. nil, and dollar loss vs. cent loss (Supplementary Fig. 3).

In whole-brain regression with age for each of these contrasts, we observed age-related increases in activation in the left superior frontal gyrus/sulcus and middle frontal gyrus to feedback of dollar win vs. nil (Fig. 4A) as well as in the right ventrolateral prefrontal and superior temporal cortex and left superior frontal gyrus/sulcus to cent loss vs. nil (Fig. 4D). Activation in the thalamus to dollar vs. cent win decreased with age (Fig. 4E). Activations in bilateral insula and orbitofrontal cortex, right superior temporal gyrus, bilateral anterior cingulate cortex, and right pre-supplementary motor area to dollar vs. cent loss decreased with age (Fig. 4F). These clusters are summarized in Table 2.

We combined all clusters in Fig. 4F as a single region of interest, and plotted the β value of dollar loss vs. age and of cent loss vs. age, as well as the β contrast of dollar loss – cent loss vs. age to visualize the correlations in Supplementary Fig. 4. In Supplementary Fig. 5 we show the same for only the largest cluster – the right insula/IFG/OFC.

4. Discussion

We studied age-related alterations in reward processing in 54 healthy adults aged 22–74 years during a MIDT. We used unambiguous pictorial stimuli for bets and participants responded to a target to win the monetary reward. We assessed the behavioral performance and neural processes underlying reward anticipation and feedback and how age influenced these processes. The results showed that age was associated with decreases in activation in a wide swath of cortical and subcortical structures, including the ventral striatum (VS) to reward anticipation, as well as decreases in activation in the insula, cingulate cortex and orbitofrontal cortex (OFC) to gain and loss feedback of higher magnitude (a dollar vs. a cent). Age was associated with increases in activation in the bilateral insula, ventrolateral and ventromedial prefrontal cortex (VLPFC and VMPFC), and pre-SMA to the feedback of cent vs. dollar loss. Age was associated with increases in activation in the frontopolar cortex, in the area of the pre-SMA, both during dollar win vs. nil and cent loss vs. nil. Age was also associated with diminished differences in RT and reduced activations to reward anticipation during dollar and cent trials, and these differences in regional activities modulated the influences of age on the differences in RT. These results suggest that age incurs decreased neural responses to anticipation of higher monetary gain and increased responses to smaller monetary loss, together reflecting an age-related constriction in sensitivity to the magnitude of monetary reward (Fig. 5). We highlight the major findings for discussion.

4.1. Age-related differences in response to reward anticipation

Older adults showed reduced VS activation to the anticipation of a dollar vs. cent or no reward, in accord with an earlier study that employed ROI analysis to examine age-related VS responses (Vink et al., 2015). Dreher and colleagues also reported reduced ventral and dorsal striatal responses to reward anticipation in older as compared to younger participants (Dreher et al., 2008). Using the MIDT along with a variant that replaced monetary with social rewards, others have reported age-related reduced VS activation to monetary vs. social rewards (Rademacher et al., 2014). Thus, age may diminish VS response to monetary but not social reward, in keeping with the role of dopaminergic




Fig. 3. The results of mediation analyses showed that (D) regional activities (β contrast) mediated the correlation between age and RT difference between dollar and cent trials. None of the other models (A, B, C) showed significant mediation.




Fig. 4. Age-related differences in response to outcomes. Voxel $p < 0.001$, uncorrected. Age was associated with differences in activity to feedback of (A) dollar win compared to nil, but not (B) dollar loss or (C) cent win as compared to nil. Age was also associated with higher regional activations during (D) cent loss vs. nil and with lower activations during (F) dollar vs. cent loss. Clusters meeting cluster $p < 0.05$ FWE corrected are summarized in Table 2.

Table 2
Age-related regional responses to feedbacks.

Volume	Peak voxel (Z)	MNI coordinates (mm)			Side	Identified brain region
(K _E)	(Z)	x	y	z		
<i>Dollar win > Nil</i>						
151	4.51	-21	56	19	L	SFG/SFS
142	4.47	-39	23	40	L	MFG
<i>Cent loss > Nil</i>						
603	4.66	45	35	-8	R	VLPFC
467	4.22	-12	44	46	L	SFG/SFS
96	3.98	39	-31	25	R	STG
<i>Dollar win > cent win</i>						
141	-4.03	0	-1	22	R/L	Thalamus
<i>Dollar loss > Cent loss</i>						
178	-4.57	-51	14	-2	L	IFG/Insula
454	-4.42	24	23	-8	R	Insula/IFG/OFC
236	-4.33	57	-43	7	R	STG
123	-3.95	0	26	22	L/R	ACC
211	-3.87	6	38	49	R	Pre-SMA

Note: voxel $p < 0.001$ uncorrected; cluster $p < 0.05$ FWE; R: right; L: left. The sign of Z value indicates the direction of correlation. SFG/SFS: superior frontal gyrus/superior frontal sulcus; MFG: middle frontal gyrus; VLPFC: ventrolateral prefrontal cortex; STG: superior temporal gyrus; IFG: inferior frontal gyrus; OFC: orbitofrontal cortex; ACC: anterior cingulate cortex; Pre-SMA: pre-supplementary motor area.

signaling of incentive salience (Robinson and Berridge, 2000) and individual differences in reward preference (McClure et al., 2004; O’Doherty et al., 2006), with social affective reward more valued by older adults (Carstensen, 2006; Carstensen and Turk-Charles, 1994; Samanez-Larkin and Knutson, 2015). Age-related reduction in activation in the bilateral occipital cortex during reward anticipation in older adults could similarly be explained by the same proposition that monetary reward is less salient and thus receives less visual attention by older adults (Guerreiro et al.,




Fig. 5. Diagrammatic representation of age-related constriction in sensitivity to reward magnitude. Age is associated with diminished cerebral response to anticipation of a large vs. small reward. Age is also associated with higher response to the outcome of loss of a small vs. large reward. Blue arrow: direction of aging; red arrows: range of neural sensitivity; black lines: neural sensitivity.

2010; Stormer et al., 2014; Vollstadt-Klein et al., 2012). Age-related reduction in VS activation may reflect fewer dopaminergic receptors or reduced signaling from the VTA (Kumakura et al., 2010; Reeves et al., 2002), and, together with reduced anterior insula activation (Oldham et al., 2018), altered saliency of monetary reward in older adults (Knutson and Greer, 2008).

Age was also associated with a diminished difference in reaction time (RT) between dollar and cent trials, largely driven by an age-related increase in RT to dollar trials despite stair-casing of the response window. Activation of the right anterior insula, caudate nucleus, supplementary motor area, right superior and middle frontal gyri, motor cortex and

visual cortex diminished both with age and with RT difference between dollar and cent trials. The primary and supplementary motor cortex is known to exhibit motor preparatory activity (Hirose et al., 2018; Wang et al., 2018; Yoshida et al., 2013) and neural plasticity in response to changing environment (Li et al., 2001; Padoa-Schioppa et al., 2004). The age-related decreases in motor cortical activations to anticipation of dollar vs. cent (Fig. 2C) may have to do with age-related decrement in RT difference or other cognitive processes in relation to RT difference between dollar and cent trials (Fig. 1D). Further, these reductions in activation mediated the relationship between age and diminished RT difference between dollar and cent trials, suggesting that these neural correlates support age-related differences in behavioral performance. Together, these findings confirmed age-related decrease in motivation to obtain a large vs. small reward.

4.2. Age-related differences in response to reward feedback

Age was associated with increases in prefrontal cortical, but not VS, response to the outcome of dollar win vs. nil, in keeping with studies employing classic MIDT paradigms (Samanez-Larkin et al., 2007) and in contrast to studies involving uncertainty in reward predictability (Marschner et al., 2005; Schott et al., 2007; Vink et al., 2015). Dreher et al. demonstrated an inverse association between midbrain dopamine stores and prefrontal cortical activation to reward processing with age (Dreher et al., 2008). Thus, age-related increases in the recruitment of the prefrontal cortex during dollar wins may reflect a compensatory mechanism to counter the depletion of mesocortical dopaminergic signaling in the aging brain (Volkow et al., 1996; Wenk et al., 1989).

Further, age was associated with decreases in activation of the insula, OFC, and ACC to dollar over cent losses, largely driven by increased age-related response to cent loss. These findings suggest that, although equally aversive to dollar loss, older as compared to younger people are more aversive to cent loss. The literature on the effects of age on loss sensitivity is sparse. An earlier study of decision making during aging showed that older as compared to younger adults were significantly more uncertainty-averse in the loss but not in the gain domain (Kurnianingsih et al., 2015). Another study reported no age-related changes in loss sensitivity but increases in differential sensitivity of the VS to negative valuations of emotional faces (Viswanathan et al., 2015). Thus, older as compared to younger people may be more sensitive to negative outcomes both in the financial and social domains.

4.3. Age-related constriction in sensitivity to the magnitude of reward

While neural sensitivity to anticipation of higher reward decreased, sensitivity to loss of smaller reward increased with age, as discussed above and depicted in Fig. 5. These findings together represent an age-related constriction in sensitivity to the magnitude of reward. Neural sensitivity to anticipating gains of different magnitude develops during adolescence and attains near-linearity during adulthood; that is, adults demonstrate a more or less linear increase in VS activity to anticipation of reward of increasing objective value (Knutson et al., 2001a; Vaidya et al., 2013). The current findings thus extend this picture into the later stages of life (up to 74 years of age), when older people show diminished responses to reward anticipation. The findings are in keeping with previous findings that the neural sensitivity to anticipated gain (higher vs. lower magnitude of reward) is associated with trait impulsivity (Vaidya et al., 2013) and that older adults demonstrate lower trait impulsivity (Eppinger et al., 2012). Further, the current findings add to this literature by showing the opposite during feedback. Loss of a smaller scale appears to figure more prominently for older people.

4.4. Implications for clinical research

The current findings may have implications for research of neuropsychiatric illnesses that implicate altered reward processing. For

instance, decreased neural response to reward has been reported in individuals who misuse cocaine (Goldstein et al., 2007; Rose et al., 2017). A recent meta-analysis of fMRI studies revealed significantly reduced striatal activation in depressed compared with healthy individuals during reward feedback and anticipation, with the latter showing a stronger effect in young adults (Keren et al., 2018). Consistent with the current findings, striatal reward response may be a less sensitive marker of addiction and depression in the elderly. In particular, as age represents the primary risk factor of many neurodegenerative conditions that implicate altered reward processing (Perry and Kramer, 2015), the findings may inform research of biomarkers of these age-related illnesses.

4.5. Limitations and conclusions

A few limitations and issues of the study need to be considered. First, subject characteristics including personality traits and socio-economic status may influence inter-subject variation in behavioral and imaging findings. Future work with a larger sample size and detailed assessment of these characteristics would help evaluate whether the current findings can be generalized to the larger populations. Second, age was correlated with less activation of the ventral striatum (VS) and other areas of the basal forebrain such as the basal nucleus of Meynert (Li et al., 2014) during reward anticipation. Although not typically implicated in reward processing, the BNM along with the projection nuclei of the midbrain may undergo major functional changes during aging (Manza et al., 2015; Peterson and Li, 2018). The BNM plays a critical role in regulating attention (Wan et al., 2019), motivational salience, and decision speed (Raver and Lin, 2015). Studies are warranted to investigate whether VS and BNM functioning is differentially influenced by aging.

We conclude that age is associated with diminished cerebral response to anticipation of large versus small monetary reward and heightened response to the outcome of small versus large monetary loss, reflecting an overall constricted sensitivity to reward magnitude. Further research may examine whether this asymmetric response to reward anticipation and loss feedback influence decision making across the life span.

Author contributions

Drs. Zhang, Chao, Levy, and Li contributed to the conceptualization and experimental design of the study. Drs. Dhingra, Zhang, Zhornitsky, Le, and Wang contributed to the execution of the MR scans and data analyses. All authors contributed to the writing and editing of the manuscript.

Acknowledgements and Disclosures

The study was supported by NIH grants MH113134, DA044749, DA023248(Li), DA040032, DA045743 (Zhang), AG058769 (Levy), CA218501, and VA Merit Award CX001301 (Chao). The funding agencies were otherwise not involved in the design of the study, data collection and analyses, or the decision to publish the current results. We have no financial interests in the current work.

Appendix A. Supplementary data

Supplementary data to this article can be found online at <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2019.116368>.

References

- Ashburner, J., Friston, K.J., 1999. Nonlinear spatial normalization using basis functions. *Hum Brain Mapp* 7, 254–266.
- Bartra, O., McGuire, J.T., Kable, J.W., 2013. The valuation system: a coordinate-based meta-analysis of BOLD fMRI experiments examining neural correlates of subjective value. *Neuroimage* 76, 412–427.
- Berry, A.S., Jagust, W.J., Hsu, M., 2019. Age-related variability in decision-making: insights from neurochemistry. *Cognit. Affect Behav. Neurosci.* 19, 415–434.

- Carstensen, L.L., 1995. Evidence for a life-span theory of socioemotional selectivity. *Curr. Dir. Psychol. Sci.* 4.
- Carstensen, L.L., 2006. The influence of a sense of time on human development. *Science* 312, 1913–1915.
- Carstensen, L.L., Turk-Charles, S., 1994. The salience of emotion across the adult life span. *Psychol. Aging* 9, 259–264.
- Charles, S.T., Mather, M., Carstensen, L.L., 2003. Aging and emotional memory: the forgettable nature of negative images for older adults. *J. Exp. Psychol. Gen.* 132, 310–324.
- Cliethero, J.A., Rangel, A., 2014. Informatic parcellation of the network involved in the computation of subjective value. *Soc. Cogn. Affect. Neurosci.* 9, 1289–1302.
- Cox, K.M., Aizenstein, H.J., Fiez, J.A., 2008. Striatal outcome processing in healthy aging. *Cognit. Affect. Behav. Neurosci.* 8, 304–317.
- Delgado, M.R., Stenger, V.A., Fiez, J.A., 2004. Motivation-dependent responses in the human caudate nucleus. *Cerebr. Cortex* 14, 1022–1030.
- Di Rosa, E., Schiff, S., Cagnolati, F., Mapelli, D., 2015. Motivation-cognition interaction: how feedback processing changes in healthy ageing and in Parkinson's disease. *Aging Clin. Exp. Res.* 27, 911–920.
- Diekhof, E.K., Kaps, L., Falkai, P., Gruber, O., 2012. The role of the human ventral striatum and the medial orbitofrontal cortex in the representation of reward magnitude - an activation likelihood estimation meta-analysis of neuroimaging studies of passive reward expectancy and outcome processing. *Neuropsychologia* 50, 1252–1266.
- Dreher, J.C., Meyer-Lindenberg, A., Kohn, P., Berman, K.F., 2008. Age-related changes in midbrain dopaminergic regulation of the human reward system. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 105, 15106–15111.
- Dugre, J.R., Dumais, A., Bitar, N., Potvin, S., 2018. Loss anticipation and outcome during the Monetary Incentive Delay Task: a neuroimaging systematic review and meta-analysis. *PeerJ* 6, e4749.
- Eppinger, B., Hammerer, D., Li, S.C., 2011. Neuromodulation of reward-based learning and decision making in human aging. *Ann. N. Y. Acad. Sci.* 1235, 1–17.
- Eppinger, B., Kray, J., 2011. To choose or to avoid: age differences in learning from positive and negative feedback. *J. Cogn. Neurosci.* 23, 41–52.
- Eppinger, B., Nystrom, L.E., Cohen, J.D., 2012. Reduced sensitivity to immediate reward during decision-making in older than younger adults. *PLoS One* 7, e36953.
- Everitt, B.J., Belin, D., Economidou, D., Pelloux, Y., Dalley, J.W., Robbins, T.W., 2008. Review. Neural mechanisms underlying the vulnerability to develop compulsive drug-seeking habits and addiction. *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. B Biol. Sci.* 363, 3125–3135.
- Forbes, E.E., Rodriguez, E.E., Musselman, S., Narendran, R., 2014. Prefrontal response and frontostriatal functional connectivity to monetary reward in abstinent alcohol-dependent young adults. *PLoS One* 9, e94640.
- Frank, M.J., Kong, L., 2008. Learning to avoid in older age. *Psychol. Aging* 23, 392–398.
- Freedberg, M., Schacherer, J., Chen, K.H., Uc, E.Y., Narayanan, N.S., Hazeltine, E., 2017. Separating the effect of reward from corrective feedback during learning in patients with Parkinson's disease. *Cognit. Affect. Behav. Neurosci.* 17, 678–695.
- Goldstein, R.Z., Alia-Klein, N., Tomasi, D., Zhang, L., Cottone, L.A., Maloney, T., Telang, F., Caparelli, E.C., Chang, L., Ernst, T., Samaras, D., Squires, N.K., Volkow, N.D., 2007. Is decreased prefrontal cortical sensitivity to monetary reward associated with impaired motivation and self-control in cocaine addiction? *Am. J. Psychiatry* 164, 43–51.
- Guerreiro, M.J., Murphy, D.R., Van Gerven, P.W., 2010. The role of sensory modality in age-related distraction: a critical review and a renewed view. *Psychol. Bull.* 136, 975–1022.
- Haber, S.N., Knutson, B., 2010. The reward circuit: linking primate anatomy and human imaging. *Neuropsychopharmacology* 35, 4–26.
- Hahn, S., Carlson, C., Singer, S., Gronlund, S.D., 2006. Aging and visual search: automatic and controlled attentional bias to threat faces. *Acta Psychol.* 123, 312–336.
- Hammerer, D., Li, S.C., Muller, V., Lindenberger, U., 2011. Life span differences in electrophysiological correlates of monitoring gains and losses during probabilistic reinforcement learning. *J. Cogn. Neurosci.* 23, 579–592.
- Hirose, S., Nambu, I., Naito, E., 2018. Cortical activation associated with motor preparation can be used to predict the freely chosen effector of an upcoming movement and reflects response time: an fMRI decoding study. *Neuroimage* 183, 584–596.
- Hu, S., Chao, H.H., Winkler, A.D., Li, C.S., 2012. The effects of age on cerebral activations: internally versus externally driven processes. *Front. Aging Neurosci.* 4, 4.
- Hu, S., Ide, J.S., Chao, H.H., Castagna, B., Fischer, K.A., Zhang, S., Li, C.R., 2018. Structural and functional cerebral bases of diminished inhibitory control during healthy aging. *Hum. Brain Mapp.* 39, 5085–5096.
- Izuma, K., Saito, D.N., Sadato, N., 2008. Processing of social and monetary rewards in the human striatum. *Neuron* 58, 284–294.
- Joubert, C., Davidson, P.S.R., Chainay, H., 2018. When do older adults show a positivity effect in emotional memory? *Exp. Aging Res.* 44, 455–468.
- Keren, H., O'Callaghan, G., Vidal-Ribas, P., Buzzeil, G.A., Brotman, M.A., Leibenluft, E., Pan, P.M., Meffert, L., Kaiser, A., Wolke, S., Pine, D.S., Stringaris, A., 2018. Reward processing in depression: a conceptual and meta-analytic review across fMRI and EEG studies. *Am. J. Psychiatry* 175, 1111–1120. [appi.ajp.2018.17101124](https://doi.org/10.1176/appi.ajp.2018.17101124).
- Knutson, B., Adams, C.M., Fong, G.W., Hommer, D., 2001a. Anticipation of increasing monetary reward selectively recruits nucleus accumbens. *J. Neurosci.* 21, RC159.
- Knutson, B., Fong, G.W., Adams, C.M., Varnier, J.L., Hommer, D., 2001b. Dissociation of reward anticipation and outcome with event-related fMRI. *Neuroreport* 12, 3683–3687.
- Knutson, B., Fong, G.W., Bennett, S.M., Adams, C.M., Hommer, D., 2003. A region of mesial prefrontal cortex tracks monetarily rewarding outcomes: characterization with rapid event-related fMRI. *Neuroimage* 18, 263–272.
- Knutson, B., Greer, S.M., 2008. Anticipatory affect: neural correlates and consequences for choice. *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. B Biol. Sci.* 363, 3771–3786.
- Knutson, B., Heinz, A., 2015. Probing psychiatric symptoms with the monetary incentive delay task. *Biol. Psychiatry* 77, 418–420.
- Knutson, B., Westdorp, A., Kaiser, E., Hommer, D., 2000. fMRI visualization of brain activity during a monetary incentive delay task. *Neuroimage* 12, 20–27.
- Knutson, B., Wimmer, G.E., 2007. Splitting the difference: how does the brain code reward episodes? *Ann. N. Y. Acad. Sci.* 1104, 54–69.
- Kryla-Lighthall, N., Mather, M., 2009. Chapter: the role of cognitive control in older adults' emotional well-being. In: *Handbook of Theories of Aging*, second ed. Springer Publishing Co; US, New York, NY, ISBN 0-8261-6251-7, pp. 323–344 (Hardcover); 978-0-8261-6251-9 (Hardcover).
- Kumakura, Y., Vernaleken, I., Buchholz, H.G., Borghammer, P., Danielsen, E., Grunder, G., Heinz, A., Bartenstein, P., Cumming, P., 2010. Age-dependent decline of steady state dopamine storage capacity of human brain: an FDOPA PET study. *Neurobiol. Aging* 31, 447–463.
- Kurniansih, Y.A., Sim, S.K., Chee, M.W., Mullette-Gillman, O.A., 2015. Aging and loss decision making: increased risk aversion and decreased use of maximizing information, with correlated rationality and value maximization. *Front. Hum. Neurosci.* 9, 280.
- Le, T.M., Zhornitsky, S., Wang, W., Ide, J., Zhang, S., Li, C.R., 2019. Posterior Cingulate Cortical Response to Active Avoidance Mediates the Relationship between Punishment Sensitivity and Problem Drinking. *J. Neurosci.* 39, 6354–6364.
- Li, C.S., Ide, J.S., Zhang, S., Hu, S., Chao, H.H., Zaborszky, L., 2014. Resting state functional connectivity of the basal nucleus of Meynert in humans: in comparison to the ventral striatum and the effects of age. *Neuroimage* 97, 321–332.
- Li, C.S., Krystal, J.H., Mathalon, D.H., 2005. Fore-period effect and stop-signal reaction time. *Exp. Brain Res.* 167, 305–309.
- Li, C.S., Padoa-Schioppa, C., Bizzi, E., 2001. Neuronal correlates of motor performance and motor learning in the primary motor cortex of monkeys adapting to an external force field 30, 593–607.
- Liu, X., Hairston, J., Schrier, M., Fan, J., 2011. Common and distinct networks underlying reward valence and processing stages: a meta-analysis of functional neuroimaging studies. *Neurosci. Biobehav. Rev.* 35, 1219–1236.
- Lutz, K., Widmer, M., 2014. What can the monetary incentive delay task tell us about the neural processing of reward and punishment? *Neurosci. Biobehav. Rev.* 3, 33–45, 2014.
- MacKinnon, D.P., Fairchild, A.J., Fritz, M.S., 2007. Mediation analysis. *Annu. Rev. Psychol.* 58, 593–614.
- Manza, P., Zhang, S., Hu, S., Chao, H.H., Leung, H.C., Li, C.R., 2015. The effects of age on resting state functional connectivity of the basal ganglia from young to middle adulthood. *Neuroimage* 107, 311–322.
- Marschner, A., Mell, T., Wartenburger, I., Villringer, A., Reischies, F.M., Heekeren, H.R., 2005. Reward-based decision-making and aging. *Brain Res. Bull.* 67, 382–390.
- McClure, S.M., Li, J., Tomlin, D., Cyper, K.S., Montague, L.M., Montague, P.R., 2004. Neural correlates of behavioral preference for culturally familiar drinks. *Neuron* 44, 379–387.
- Mikl, M., Marecek, R., Hlustík, P., Pavlicová, M., Drastich, A., Chlebus, P., Brázdil, M., Krupa, P., 2008. Effects of spatial smoothing on fMRI group inferences. *Magn. Reson. Imaging* 26, 490–503.
- O'Doherty, J., Dayan, P., Schultz, J., Deichmann, R., Friston, K., Dolan, R.J., 2004. Dissociable roles of ventral and dorsal striatum in instrumental conditioning. *Science* 304, 452–454.
- O'Doherty, J.P., Buchanan, T.W., Seymour, B., Dolan, R.J., 2006. Predictive neural coding of reward preference involves dissociable responses in human ventral midbrain and ventral striatum. *Neuron* 49, 157–166.
- Oldham, S., Murawski, C., Fornito, A., Youssef, G., Yucel, M., Lorenzetti, V., 2018. The anticipation and outcome phases of reward and loss processing: a neuroimaging meta-analysis of the monetary incentive delay task. *Hum. Brain Mapp.* 39, 3398–3418.
- Padoa-Schioppa, C., Li, C.S., Bizzi, E., 2004. Neuronal activity in the supplementary motor area of monkeys adapting to a new dynamic environment. *J. Neurophysiol.* 91, 449–473.
- Perry, D.C., Kramer, J.H., 2015. Reward processing in neurodegenerative disease. *Neurocase* 21, 120–133.
- Peterson, A.C., Li, C.R., 2018. Noradrenergic Dysfunction in Alzheimer's and Parkinson's Diseases-An Overview of Imaging Studies. *Front. Aging Neurosci.* 10, 127.
- Rademacher, L., Krach, S., Kohls, G., Irmak, A., Grunder, G., Spreckelmeyer, K.N., 2010. Dissociation of neural networks for anticipation and consumption of monetary and social rewards. *Neuroimage* 49, 3276–3285.
- Rademacher, L., Salama, A., Grunder, G., Spreckelmeyer, K.N., 2014. Differential patterns of nucleus accumbens activation during anticipation of monetary and social reward in young and older adults. *Soc. Cogn. Affect. Neurosci.* 9, 825–831.
- Raver, S.M., Lin, S.C., 2015. Basal forebrain motivational salience signal enhances cortical processing and decision speed. *Front. Behav. Neurosci.* 9, 277.
- Reeves, S., Bench, C., Howard, R., 2002. Ageing and the nigrostriatal dopaminergic system. *Int. J. Geriatr. Psychiatry* 17, 359–370.
- Rinne, J.O., Lonnberg, P., Marjamäki, P., 1990. Age-dependent decline in human brain dopamine D1 and D2 receptors. *Brain Res.* 508, 349–352.
- Robinson, T.E., Berridge, K.C., 2000. The psychology and neurobiology of addiction: an incentive-sensitization view. *Addiction* 95 (Suppl. 2), S91–S117.
- Rose, E.J., Salmeron, B.J., Ross, T.J., Waltz, J., Schweitzer, J.B., Stein, E.A., 2017. Dissociable effects of cocaine dependence on reward processes: the role of acute cocaine and craving. *Neuropsychopharmacology* 42, 736–747.
- Sakaki, M., Yagi, A., Murayama, K., 2018. Curiosity in old age: a possible key to achieving adaptive aging. *Neurosci. Biobehav. Rev.* 88, 106–116.

- Samanez-Larkin, G.R., Gibbs, S.E., Khanna, K., Nielsen, L., Carstensen, L.L., Knutson, B., 2007. Anticipation of monetary gain but not loss in healthy older adults. *Nat. Neurosci.* 10, 787–791.
- Samanez-Larkin, G.R., Knutson, B., 2015. Decision making in the ageing brain: changes in affective and motivational circuits. *Nat. Rev. Neurosci.* 16, 278–289.
- Samanez-Larkin, G.R., Worthy, D.A., Mata, R., McClure, S.M., Knutson, B., 2014. Adult age differences in frontostriatal representation of prediction error but not reward outcome. *Cognit. Affect Behav. Neurosci.* 14, 672–682.
- Schott, B.H., Niehaus, L., Wittmann, B.C., Schutze, H., Seidenbecher, C.I., Heinze, H.J., Duzel, E., 2007. Ageing and early-stage Parkinson's disease affect separable neural mechanisms of mesolimbic reward processing. *Brain* 130, 2412–2424.
- Schultz, W., 2006. Behavioral theories and the neurophysiology of reward. *Annu. Rev. Psychol.* 57, 87–115.
- Schultz, W., 2015. Neuronal reward and decision signals: from theories to data. *Physiol. Rev.* 95, 853–951.
- Schultz, W., Dayan, P., Montague, P.R., 1997. A neural substrate of prediction and reward. *Science* 275, 1593–1599.
- Shany-Ur, T., Lin, N., Rosen, H.J., Sollberger, M., Miller, B.L., Rankin, K.P., 2014. Self-awareness in neurodegenerative disease relies on neural structures mediating reward-driven attention. *Brain* 137, 2368–2381.
- Simon, J.R., Howard, J.H., Howard, D.V., 2010. Adult age differences in learning from positive and negative probabilistic feedback. *Neuropsychology* 24, 534–541.
- Stormer, V., Eppinger, B., Li, S.C., 2014. Reward speeds up and increases consistency of visual selective attention: a lifespan comparison. *Cognit. Affect Behav. Neurosci.* 14, 659–671.
- Thoma, M.V., Maercker, A., Forstmeier, S., 2017. Evidence for different trajectories of delay discounting in older adults with mild cognitive impairment and mild alzheimer's disease. *J. Gerontol B Psychol. Sci. Soc. Sci.* 72, 956–965.
- Vaidya, J.G., Knutson, B., O'Leary, D.S., Block, R.I., Magnotta, V., 2013. Neural sensitivity to absolute and relative anticipated reward in adolescents. *PLoS One* 8, e58708.
- Vink, M., Kleerekoper, L., van den Wildenberg, W.P., Kahn, R.S., 2015. Impact of aging on frontostriatal reward processing. *Hum. Brain Mapp.* 36, 2305–2317.
- Viswanathan, V., Lee, S., Gilman, J.M., Kim, B.W., Lee, N., Chamberlain, L., Livengood, S.L., Raman, K., Lee, M.J., Kuster, J., Stern, D.B., Calder, B., Mulhern, F.J., Blood, A.J., Breiter, H.C., 2015. Age-related striatal BOLD changes without changes in behavioral loss aversion. *Front. Hum. Neurosci.* 9, 176.
- Volkow, N.D., Gur, R.C., Wang, G.J., Fowler, J.S., Moberg, P.J., Ding, Y.S., Hitzemann, R., Smith, G., Logan, J., 1998. Association between decline in brain dopamine activity with age and cognitive and motor impairment in healthy individuals. *Am. J. Psychiatry* 155, 344–349.
- Volkow, N.D., Wang, G.J., Fowler, J.S., Logan, J., Gatley, S.J., MacGregor, R.R., Schlyer, D.J., Hitzemann, R., Wolf, A.P., 1996. Measuring age-related changes in dopamine D2 receptors with 11C-raclopride and 18F-N-methylspiroperidol. *Psychiatry Res.* 67, 11–16.
- Vollstadt-Klein, S., Loeber, S., Richter, A., Kirsch, M., Bach, P., von der Goltz, C., Hermann, D., Mann, K., Kiefer, F., 2012. Validating incentive salience with functional magnetic resonance imaging: association between mesolimbic cue reactivity and attentional bias in alcohol-dependent patients. *Addict. Biol.* 17, 807–816.
- Wager, T.D., Davidson, M.L., Hughes, B.L., Lindquist, M.A., Ochsner, K.N., 2008. Prefrontal-subcortical pathways mediating successful emotion regulation. *Neuron* 59, 1037–1050.
- Wan, L., Huang, H., Schwab, N., Tanner, J., Rajan, A., Lam, N.B., Zaborszky, L., Li, C.R., Price, C.C., Ding, M., 2019. From eyes-closed to eyes-open: Role of cholinergic projections in EC-to-EO alpha reactivity revealed by combining EEG and MRI. *Hum. Brain Mapp.* 40, 566–577.
- Wang, W., Hu, S., Ide, J.S., Zhornitsky, S., Zhang, S., Yu, A.J., Li, C.R., 2018. Motor Preparation Disrupts Proactive Control in the Stop Signal Task. *Front. Hum. Neurosci.* 12, 151.
- Wang, W., Worhunsky, P.D., Zhang, S., Le, T.M., Potenza, M.N., Li, C.R., 2018. Response inhibition and fronto-striatal-thalamic circuit dysfunction in cocaine addiction. *Drug Alcohol Depend.* 192, 137–145.
- Wenk, G.L., Pierce, D.J., Struble, R.G., Price, D.L., Cork, L.C., 1989. Age-related changes in multiple neurotransmitter systems in the monkey brain. *Neurobiol. Aging* 10, 11–19.
- Whitton, A.E., Treadway, M.T., Pizzagalli, D.A., 2015. Reward processing dysfunction in major depression, bipolar disorder and schizophrenia. *Curr. Opin. Psychiatr.* 28, 7–12.
- Wiegand, I., Sander, M.C., 2019. Cue-related processing accounts for age differences in phasic alerting. *Neurobiol. Aging* 79, 93–100.
- Yoshida, Y., Tanabe, H.C., Hayashi, M.J., Kawamichi, H., Kochiyama, T., Sadato, N., 2013. The neural substrates of the warning effect: a functional magnetic resonance imaging study. *Neurosci. Res.* 76, 230–239.
- Zhornitsky, S., Zhang, S., Ide, J.S., Chao, H.H., Wang, W., Le, T.M., Leeman, R.F., Bi, J., Krystal, J.H., Li, C.R., 2019. Alcohol Expectancy and Cerebral Responses to Cue-Elicited Craving in Adult Nondependent Drinkers. *Biol. Psychiatry Cogn. Neurosci. Neuroimaging* 4, 493–504.